

SCORING RUBRIC for ALL GAC PROPSOALS			
Attribute	Minimal 0-3 points	Proficient 4-6 points	Optimal 7-9 points
ABSTRACT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missing purpose statement and/or needs statement Too brief to determine overall purpose of proposed event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides adequate detail to discern purpose of proposed event Provides a needs statement or identifies a problem to be addressed Exceeds 200 word limit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear and concise— purpose/overall intent or proposed event specified Provides a need or problem statement The purpose of the proposal is directly linked or addresses the identified problem or need Does not exceed 200 word limit
EVENT GOALS/ OBJECTIVES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missing , or Too general—cannot be measurable in version provided Difficult to determine how goals and objectives are related to the overall purpose of the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some, but not all objectives are measurable Objectives clearly support purpose of event Evaluation tool designed to measure event objectives not included in grant application 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All objectives are measurable Objectives support intended purpose of event Evaluation tool designed to measure stated objectives is included as an attachment
ALIGNMENT TO COLLEGE'S STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missing or Just lists the strategic direction statement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lists the strategic directive supported by proposed event's activities Some detail provided regarding how event activities support strategic directives but the reviewer must infer this component 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lists the strategic directive(s) supported by proposed event's activity(ies) Provides a thorough explanation of how proposed activities support all of the college's strategic directions listed in the grant proposal

SCORING RUBRIC for ALL GAC PROPSOALS			
Attribute	Minimal 0-3 points	Proficient 4-6 points	Optimal 7-9 points
DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missing or provides limited detail regarding the who, what, where, when of proposed activities Unclear how activities support strategic mission and stated goals/objectives Timelines for proposed activities vague or missing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly describes proposed activities in terms who, what , where, and when Activities support strategic directives and stated goals/objectives General timelines (e.g. Spring semester) of proposed activities provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly describes proposed activities in terms who, what , where, and when Activities support strategic directives and stated goals/objectives Specific, concrete, (tentative dates) timelines of proposed activities provided, feasible, well-sequenced
DESCRIPTION OF INTENDED AUDIENCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missing or too general Difficult to identify specific sub-groups of BSC community that this event is intended for 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subset of BSC community identified Fails to identify intended # of anticipated participants Marketing efforts are either not stated or provided in general terms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subset of BSC community identified Identifies expected number of participants Identifies outreach or marketing efforts that advertise proposed event(s) to SUNY Buffalo State community
LINE-ITEM BUDGET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missing or Provides little or no description of costs/expenditures Additional funding sources not identified Budget items list refreshment as a fundable item 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a vague or brief description of costs; details for requested funding are limited; just list generic item and overall costs Additional funding sources are listed Refreshments are not included in budget 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed budget provided Specific information on sources/vendors and all costs are included Supporting documentation, if available, is attached as needed for illustration purposes Additional funding sources identified Clear connection how proposed budget items support proposed activities

SCORING RUBRIC for ALL GAC PROPSOALS			
Attribute	Minimal 0-3 points	Proficient 4-6 points	Optimal 7-9 points
FORMAT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stated format not adhered to • Missing 2 or more required components • Not doubled spaced 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal incorporates all required grant application components • Double spaced 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All grant elements/components included and highlighted (e.g. Heading of each component clearly identifies the intended component being described) • Double-spaced
ADDITIONAL POINTS	<p>A. _____ Proposal reflects a new program or event</p> <p>B. _____ Additional funding sources secured</p> <p>C. _____ Outreach of proposed events are offered to distant learning SUNY Buffalo State community</p>		
	Not Funded 0 - 23	Partial Funding 24—45	Partial to Full Funding 46—65